



Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s)

09/781,427

Examiner

Group Art Unit

Rebecca Cook

1614

Steiner et al

(1) Rebecca Cook	(3)
(2) Rouget Henschel	
Date of Interview Dec. 13, 2001	· -
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) X applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: <u>claims pending</u> Identification of prior art discussed:	
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached	I. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the genera any other comments:	I nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
The rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 paragraphs one and to	wo and 35 U.S.C. 103(a) were discussed.
	dments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable
·	arate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked)

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

REBECCA COOK PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1614

Gellealarde